CER GRAPHIC ORGANIZER

Question:				
Claim:	Evidence:			
Reasoning:				
The evidence shows:				
I know (relevant disciplinary ideas – i.e., scientific facts and concepts that help answer the question):				
I can apply (relevant crosscutting concepts – i.e., big ideas that connect the concepts and evidence):				
real apply (relevant crosscatting concepts—ne., signacus that connect the concepts and evidence).				
Therefore I are conclude that				
Therefore, I can conclude that:				

Claim-Evidence-Reasoning Rubric

	4	3	2	1
	Advanced	Proficient	Progressing	Beginning
Claim A statement or conclusion that answers the original question/ problem.	 Makes a claim that is relevant, accurate, and complete. Contrasts the claim to an alternative claim. 	Makes a claim that is Relevant (Directly & clearly responds to question) Accurate (Consistent with evidence and scientific principles) Complete (Complete sentence that stands alone)	Makes a relevant and accurate but incomplete claim.	Does not make a claim, or makes an inaccurate or irrelevant claim.
Evidence Scientific data that supports the claim. The data needs to be appropriate and sufficient to support the claim.	 Provides appropriate and sufficient evidence to support claim. Discusses evidence that would support alternative claim. 	Provides evidence to support the claim that is Appropriate (Scientific data or information from observations, investigations, data analysis, or valid scientific sources) Sufficient (Enough evidence to support the claim)	Provides appropriate, but insufficient evidence to support claim. May include some inappropriate evidence.	Does not provide evidence, or only provides inappropriate evidence (Evidence that does not support claim).
Reasoning A justification that connects the evidence to the claim. It shows why the data counts as evidence by using appropriate and sufficient scientific principles.	 Provides reasoning that clearly connects the evidence to the claim. Includes appropriate and sufficient scientific principles to explain why the evidence supports the claim. Explains why the alternative claim is inaccurate. 	Explanation provides reasoning that is Clear (Clearly communicated and goes beyond repeating claim and evidence) Connected (Explains why the evidence is important or why it is relevant) Integrated (Links the evidence to an important disciplinary idea and crosscutting concept)	Provides reasoning that connects the evidence to the claim. May include some scientific principles or justification for why the evidence supports the claim, but not sufficient.	Does not provide reasoning, or only provides inappropriate reasoning.